

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8

9
10 JOHN RAMIREZ, ET AL.,

11 Plaintiff(s),

12 v.

13 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
14 COMPANY, ET AL.,

15 Defendant(s).

Case No. EDCV 16-680-DMG (KK)

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS
AND RECOMMENDATION OF
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE
JUDGE**

16
17 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Third Amended
18 Complaint, the relevant records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the
19 United States Magistrate Judge.


20 On July 9, 2018, Plaintiffs filed Objections to the Report and
21 Recommendation attaching various unauthenticated exhibits. Dkt. 190. On July
22 23, 2018, Defendant filed a Response to Plaintiffs' Objections. Dkt. 193.

23 The Court has engaged in de novo review of those portions of the Report to
24 which Plaintiffs have objected. The Court finds the exhibits attached to the
25 Objections do not support Plaintiffs' Opposition to summary judgment because
26 they are (a) either untimely or duplicative of Plaintiffs' submissions in support of
27 their Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment, (b) inadmissible
28 lay opinion, and (c) fail to establish causation even if considered on the merits.

1 Therefore, the Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate
2 Judge.

3 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that (1) Defendant's Motion for Summary
4 Judgment is GRANTED; and (2) Judgment shall be entered DISMISSING this
5 action with prejudice.

6
7 Dated: September 27, 2018


HONORABLE DOLLY M. GEE
United States District Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28